

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,034	03/25/2004	Robert Allan Young	PUS-P001-041	5815
35246	7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
MOETTELI & ASSOCIES SARL			SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
CASE POSTA GENEVA.	ALE 486 CH-1211		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· SWITZERLA	ND		. 3733	-

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V _V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,034	YOUNG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Richard R. Shaffer	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	June_2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Annication No.	٠
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		•	
 Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure 	•	r received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) D Notice of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1, 7 and 14 recite, "at least one set of two adjacent overlapping holes is located so as to lie on opposite sides of an osteotomy site." Applicant's invention is directed to an overlapping hole being located on either side, not both sides of an osteotomy site at any given time. For examination purposes, the claims have been examined as not including the non-enabled limitation. All dependent claims are rejected for being dependent upon a non-enabled base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,034

Art Unit: 3733

bone peg (20, Figure 2).

Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weaver et al (US Patent 6,623,486). Weaver et al disclose a bone plate (Figures 7, 8 and 10) with at least two axes with bone screw receiving holes comprising:

[First Interpretation] complex apertures (58) having overlapping holes having multifaceted surfaces and wide bevels; countersunk round hole (56a/b, Figures 12-16) with the countersunk is approximately 25 degrees; a locking bone peg (20, Figure 2) with a threaded head (22) and unthreaded body (immediately below threaded head portion); the distance between two sets overlapping holes is approximately 15 mm due to the plate being for the femur, anatomical constraints in design, that several different overlapping holes could be used to meet the limitation, and the use of approximately is broad enough to encompass many other values; a bone screw (10, Figure 1)

[Second Interpretation] same as the First Interpretation except that overlapping holes are considered to be holes (56b/c) because the holes can be considered completely

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

overlapping without any offset between them; the overlapping holes (56b/c) having

threaded multifaceted surfaces that can lock with the threaded head (22) of locking

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,034

Art Unit: 3733

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weaver et al in view of Cesarone (5,851,207). Weaver et al disclose all of the claimed limitations except is silent as to a drill guide being used in combination which engages the holes of the bone plate to stabilize a drill. Cesarone teaches (Column 1, Lines 14-37) that using a drill guide minimizes misalignments and cause improperly secured plates. The drill guide (Figure 1) connects to the bone plate and ensures an orientation appropriate for a later bone screw. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consider using the drill guide of Cesarone to accurately tap holes to be used for a non-threaded shaft, threaded head screw to correctly implant the screw and to secure the bone plate properly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/809,034

Art Unit: 3733

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard Shaffer December 8th, 2006

Dichard Shaffer

JUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER